UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,429	03/13/2006	David Arditti Modiano	0600-1192	8849
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 06/09/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St Suite 500	reet	ARCHER, CHRISTOPHER B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/560,429	ARDITTI MODIANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHRISTOPHER B. ARCHER	2432			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 M</u>	arch 2009				
	action is non-final.				
· -	_				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,27-29 and 31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-26, 30, 32-35</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/14/2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	аіені Арріісацоп			

Application/Control Number: 10/560,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 2432

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 11, filed 03/30/2009, with respect to the specification

have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the specification has been

withdrawn.

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 12, filed 03/30/2009, with respect to claim 26 have been

fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claim 26 has been withdrawn.

3. Applicant's arguments, see page 12, filed 03/30/2009, with respect to claims 27, 28, and

31-35 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 27, 28, and 31-35

has been withdrawn. However, the reconsideration has resulted in a different interpretation of the

Boneh reference and is presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 16, 27-29, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Boneh et al. "An Efficient Public Key Traitor Tracing Scheme" Advances in Cryptology -

Crypto '99. 19th Annual International Cryptology Conference Proceedings. Santa Barbara, CA,

USA (1999) pages 338-353, hereafter referred to as Boneh.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 2432

Regarding claims 16, 29:

Boneh page 340, Definitions ¶ 1 teaches that one unique encryption key can be

used for multiple decryption keys.

Boneh page 340, Definitions ¶ 3 and 4 teaches that the encryption and

decryption processes can be algorithms.

Boneh pages 338-339, Introduction ¶ 1-2 teaches that each subscriber has a

unique set of keys that identify that particular subscriber and allow for the decryption of a

broadcast.

Regarding claim 27, 28:

Boneh pages 338-339, Introduction ¶ 1-3 shows the keys being stored in and

used by a distributor to encrypt data before the data is sent to a corresponding receiver.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

7. Claims 17 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boneh

in view of Gazier et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,880,088), hereafter referred to as Gazier.

Regarding claim 17:

Boneh discloses the method of claim 16, but fails to explicitly disclose a system

that can process non-redundant data.

Gazier column 2, lines 57-59 shows that it is common for secure communication networks to process non-redundant data.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to improve Boneh by allowing the system to process non-redundant data, as disclosed in Gazier, to allow for the conservation of bandwidth.

Regarding claim 31:

Boneh pages 338-339, Introduction ¶ 1-3 shows the keys being stored in and used by a distributor to encrypt data before the data is sent to a corresponding receiver.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 18-26, 30, and 32-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER B. ARCHER whose telephone number is (571) 270-7308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,429 Page 5

Art Unit: 2432

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

/CHRISTOPHER B ARCHER/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432

/Gilberto Barron Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432